```
Case 2:09-cv-01446-NVW Document 1 Filed 07/10/09 Page 1 of 12
   Floyd W. Bybee, #012651
   BYBEE LAW CENTER, PLC
   4445 E. Holmes Avenue
2
   Suite 107
   Mesa, AZ 85206-3398
 3
   Office: (480) 756-8822
   Fax: (480) 302-4186
   floyd@bybeelaw.com
5
   Attorney for Plaintiff
 6
7
                  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8
                      DISTRICT OF ARIZONA
 9
10
   Arthur Cathcart, III;
                                   No.
11
12
        Plaintiff,
13
                                            COMPLAINT
14
   V.
   Midland Credit Management,
1.5
   Inc.; and
   Bursey & Associates, P.C.; )
16
17
        Defendants.
                                      (Jury Trial Demanded)
18
19
        Plaintiff alleges as follows:
20
                   I.
                       Preliminary Statement
21
        Plaintiff brings this action for damages based upon
22
   1.
        Defendants' violations of the Fair Debt Collection
2.3
        Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692 et seq.
24
        (hereinafter "FDCPA"). In the course of attempting
25
```

to collect a debt allegedly owed by Plaintiff, Defendants engaged in a campaign of deceptive, unfair and abusive debt collection practices in violation of the FDCPA. Plaintiff seeks to recover actual damages, and statutory damages, as well as reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

## II. Statutory Structure of FDCPA

- 2. Congress passed the FDCPA to eliminate abusive debt collection practices by debt collectors, to insure that those debt collectors who refrain from using abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged, and to promote consistent state action to protect consumers against debt collection abuses. FDCPA § 1692.
- 3. The FDCPA is designed to protect consumers who have been victimized by unscrupulous debt collectors regardless of whether a valid debt exists. Baker v. G.C. Services Corp., 677 F.2d 775, 777 (9th Cir. 1982).
- 4. The FDCPA defines a "consumer" as any natural person obligated or allegedly obligated to pay any debt. FDCPA § 1692a(3).
- 5. The FDCPA defines "debt" as any obligation or alleged obligation of a consumer to pay money arising out of a transaction in which the money,

- property, insurance, or services which are the subject or the transaction are primarily for personal, family, or household purposes. FDCPA § 1692a(5).
- 6. The FDCPA defines "debt collector' as any person who uses any instrumentality of interstate commerce or the mails in any business the principal purpose of which is the collection of any debts, or who regularly collects or attempts to collect, directly or indirectly, debts owed or due or asserted to be owed or due to another. FDCPA § 1692a(6).
- 7. Any debt collector who fails to comply with the provisions of the FDCPA is liable for any actual damage sustained; statutory damages up to \$1,000; attorney's fees as determined by the Court and costs of the action. FDCPA § 1692k.

### III. Jurisdiction

8. Jurisdiction of the Court over this action and the parties herein, arises under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) (FDCPA), and 28 U.S.C. §1337. Venue lies in the Phoenix Division of the District of Arizona as Plaintiff's claims arose from acts of the Defendants perpetrated therein.

#### IV. Parties

9. Plaintiff is an individual residing in Maricopa

1 County, Arizona.

2

3

4

5

14

15

16

- 10. Plaintiff is allegedly obligated to pay a consumer debt.
- 11. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as that term is defined by FDCPA § 1692a(3).
- 6 12. Defendant Midland Credit Management, Inc.
  7 ("Midland") is a Kansas corporation doing business
  8 within the State of Arizona.
- 9 13. Midland regularly collects or attempts to collect debts owed or asserted to be owed or due another.
- 11 14. In the alternative, Midland regularly collects or 12 attempts to collect debts which it has purchased 13 after default.
  - 15. Midland is licensed as a collection agency by the Arizona Department of Financial Institutions, license number 0905285.
- 17 16. Midland is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by FDCPA § 1692a(6).
- 17. Defendant Bursey & Associates, P.C. ("Bursey") is an Arizona professional corporation registered and doing business in the State of Arizona as a law firm.
- 23 18. Bursey regularly collects or attempts to collect debts owed or asserted to be owed or due another.
  - 19. Bursey is a "debt collector" as defined by FDCPA

1 \$1692a(6).

20. At all times relevant herein, Bursey was acting on behalf of and as an agent for Midland.

### V. Factual Allegations

- 21. Plaintiff opened a credit card account through HSBC Bank which was used for personal, family, and household purposes.
- 22. In or about October 2002, Plaintiff disputed various charges made to his HSBC account.
- 23. As a compromise to settle the undisputed charges, Plaintiff paid HSBC \$300.00 on October 31, 2002.
- 12 24. Plaintiff made no further payments to HSBC on the account.
  - 25. If there was balance owing on the HSBC account, which Plaintiff disputes, then the account would have become delinquent no later than November 2002.
  - 26. Plaintiff was scheduled to be deployed to Iraq in September 2004, and was instructed to settle all business matters before deployment.
  - 27. Plaintiff contacted all his creditors in September 2004, including HSBC, and HSBC stated that the account was closed and did not indicate that there was any balance owed or due on the account.
  - 28. Upon information and belief, subsequent to making his last payment on the HSBC account on October 31,

- 2002, HSBC sold the alleged debt to Atlantic Credit.
  - 29. Upon information and belief, Midland Funding, LLC later acquired the alleged HSBC debt.
  - 30. Upon information and belief, Midland Funding, LLC subsequently sold the alleged HSBC debt to Defendant Midland.
  - 31. In or about April 2009, Midland assigned the alleged HSBC debt to Bursey for collection purposes.
  - 32. Bursey sent an initial letter to Plaintiff dated April 20, 2009 concerning the Midland debt. A copy of the letter is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 12 33. Exhibit A was the first communication from Bursey to
  13 Plaintiff concerning the HSBC debt.
  - 34. In its initial letter to Plaintiff, Bursey does not identify the origin of the debt, or identify the debt by a HSBC account number.
  - 35. Bursey represents in Exhibit A that the amount owed to Midland is \$11,217.67 including interest through April 20, 2009.
  - 36. After receiving Exhibit A, Plaintiff sent a letter to Bursey on May 16, 2009 disputing the alleged debt and requesting verification of the debt, and identification of the original creditor.
- 24 37. Bursey sent Plaintiff a second letter dated May 26, 2009. A copy of this letter is attached hereto as

Exhibit B.

- 38. Bursey identifies in Exhibit B that the original creditor was Household Bank.
- 39. Bursey states in Exhibit B, that the letter is regarding a matter with the "Maricopa County Justice Court."
- 40. When Plaintiff received Exhibit B, and because of the reference to the "Maricopa County Justice Court," he believed that he had been sued on the HSBC debt.
- 41. Bursey also states in Exhibit B that "I have verified that the amount of this debt is currently \$11,290.36. This amount includes the outstanding principal balance of \$7,369.42, plus accruing interest from the date of default January 31, 2004 at the rate of ten and 0/100 per year. Please find enclosed documentation from my client regarding this debt . . . "
- 42. The only documentation attached to Exhibit B is the Affidavit of Judy Richter, a "Specialist and [] custodian of records for Midland Credit Management, Inc." A copy of the Affidavit of Judy Richter is attached hereto as Exhibit C.
- 43. Ms. Richter states in her affidavit, and under penalty of perjury on behalf of Midland, that "The

- account shows that the defendant(s) owed a balance of \$7369.42 as of 2009-04-20."
- 44. Exhibit C is the first communication from Midland to Plaintiff concerning the HSBC account.
- 45. On June 5, 2009 Plaintiff sent a letter directly to Midland requesting verification of the alleged debt it was attempting to collect.
- 46. The June 5, 2009 letter was sent to Midland at the address provided by Arizona Department of Financial Institutions, but was subsequently returned as undeliverable.
- 47. Plaintiff sent a second letter on June 13, 2009 to Midland to the Phoenix, Arizona address and also to Midland's address in San Diego, California. A copy of this letter is attached hereto as Exhibit E.
- 48. Plaintiff requested in his letter to Midland, pursuant to Arizona Administrative Code Section R-20-4-1514, which all collection agencies licensed in Arizona are subject to, that Midland provide "copies of all documents in your 'books and records concerning the debtor or the debt.'"
- 49. Plaintiff also requested in his letter to Midland, pursuant to Arizona Administrative Code Section R-4-1521, "copies of all evidence of the debt."
- 50. Plaintiff has not received any response from Midland

- to Plaintiff's request for documentation or verification concerning the HSBC debt.
- 51. On May 28, 2009, Plaintiff telephoned Bursey's office and spoke with a collector named "Barbara Barnett."
- 52. Plaintiff and his fiancé asked Barnett for information concerning the HSBC debt, but was told that "we are not going through this, you can request that in the law suit. . ." and "when the law suit is filed you can request that."
- 53. On June 3, 2009, Plaintiff called Midland and asked that Midland provide information concerning the alleged debt.
- 54. Midland refused to give Plaintiff any information, and said that he needed to contact Bursey's office.
- 55. Plaintiff then telephoned Bursey and spoke with a collector.
- 56. During this conversation, the collector stated that Bursey will proceed with a lawsuit, and when asked whether a suit had been filed, stated "definitely."
  - 57. The collector also stated that the date of contract was March 30, 2000, and that default occurred on January 31, 2004.
- 24 58. When the 2004 default date was disputed, the collector stated that "they probably carried in that

long before they closed it out."

- 59. The collector also stated that any disputes concerning the date the account defaulted would have to be made to HSBC.
- 60. The collector also stated that "I'm not going to argue with you like my co-worker did Friday. It is a lawsuit, we are seeking judgment. At that time we will find your employer and send it to garnishment."
- 61. At the time Defendants threatened to file a lawsuit, the debt was stale and beyond the applicable statute of limitations.
- 62. As a result of Defendants' actions as outlined above, Plaintiff has suffered actual damages including, but not limited to, out of pocket expenses, loss of credit opportunity, embarrassment, humiliation, anger, and other emotional distress.
- 63. Defendants' actions as outlined above were intentional, willful, and in gross or reckless disregard of Plaintiff's rights and part of their persistent and routine practice of debt collection.
- 64. In the alternative, Defendants' actions were negligent.

#### VI. Causes of Action

#### a. Fair Debt Collection Practices Act

65. Plaintiff repeats, realleges, and incorporates by

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	ט
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3

reference the foregoing paragraphs.

- 66. Defendants' violations of the FDCPA include, but are not necessarily limited to, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692e(2)(A), 1692e(4), 1692e(5), 1692e(10), 1692f(1), and 1692q.
- a direct result and proximate cause of 67. As Defendants' actions in violation of the FDCPA, Plaintiff has suffered actual damages.

### VII. Demand for Jury Trial

Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial on all issues so triable.

# VIII. Prayer for Relief

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests that judgment be entered against Defendants for:

- a) Statutory damages of \$1,000 per Defendant, pursuant to \$1692k;
- Actual damages in an amount to be determined by b) trial;
- c) Costs and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to \$1692k; and
- d) Such other relief as may be just and proper.

24

	Case 2:09-cv-01446-NVW Document 1 Filed 07/10/09 Page 12 of 12
1	
2	DATED <u>July 10, 2009</u> .
3	
4	_s/ Floyd W. Bybee Floyd W. Bybee, #012651
5	BYBEE LAW CENTER, PLC 4445 E. Holmes Avenue
6	Suite 107 Mesa, AZ 85206-3398
7	Office: (480) 756-8822 Fax: (480) 302-4186
8	floyd@bybeelaw.com
9	Attorney for Plaintiff
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	